

Message

From: LaPoma, Jennifer [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CBC6070A1891487D8F80A229B98AFFB2-LAPOMA, JENNIFER]
Sent: 2/14/2018 1:24:42 PM
To: Salkie, Diane [salkie.diane@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: supplemental memo
Attachments: Draft 17 Mile_Supplemental CSTAG Memo_2.9.18_CDM.docx

Diane – Scott thinks we should switch the eco section in the 11 principles to what we had in the Supplemental Memo for eco. I thought there was redundancies with the risk discussions so I eliminated both risk sections from the Supplemental Memo.

Since the eco discussion focuses on the shortcomings of the BERA in the 11 Principles Memo, it probably would be better to switch them out. Since it's a big change from what folks reviewed, I wanted to run it by you as well.

From: Kirchner, Scott [mailto:KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 5:47 PM
To: LaPoma, Jennifer <LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: supplemental memo

Sorry I could not get to this sooner. I think our only problem is with the risk assessment sections

Scott F. Kirchner

From: LaPoma, Jennifer [mailto:LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 4:40 PM
To: Kirchner, Scott <KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com>
Subject: RE: supplemental memo

Thanks! Think Keegan will get me his rewrite before I leave?

From: Kirchner, Scott [mailto:KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 4:30 PM
To: LaPoma, Jennifer <LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: supplemental memo

I got pulled into a phone call as well. I think document is in pretty good shape though.

Scott F. Kirchner

From: LaPoma, Jennifer [mailto:LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 4:26 PM
To: Kirchner, Scott <KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com>
Subject: supplemental memo
Importance: High

Scott – Do you think you could send me what you guys have done so far on the supplemental memo? I need to get it out to reviewers tonight along with the 11 principles memo and I'm only here for another hour